





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/919,414 | 07/31/2001 | Haruki Hiranuma | S004-4358 | 4207 |
| 7 | 590 07/10/2003 | | | |
| ADAMS & WILKS ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 31st FLOOR 50 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MISKA, VIT W | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2841 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | N | ~ |
|--|---|--|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - |
| • | 09/919,414 | HIRANUMA ET A | .L. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vit W. Miska | 2841 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | - : | ddress |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimun vill apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec | may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | ne merits is |
| 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideratio | n. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requiremen | nt. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept | | | • |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | • | • | er. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | • | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U. | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2 | (a)). | Stage |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U. | S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona | l application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • • | | , |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Not | rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (PToer: | |
| 5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | tion Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 | |

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

"front end in a semispherical shape or in a shape of a mountain" in claim 1, "a recess portion provided on the other side" in claims 1-3.

These terms cannot be found in the specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 6 is grammatically incorrect and cannot be properly construed. In line 10 the recitation "a recess portion provided on the other side" is indefinite with respect to "the other side". The "other side" does not correspond to the same in the previous line of the claim. It is further unclear whether this language refers to the other side of the bezel or the main body. In claim 2 it is not clear whether "a bayonet part" is part of the bayonet structure recited in claim 1 and

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

whether "an elastic member" corresponds to the same in claim 1. Claim 3 is incomprehensible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al. Assuming the claims refer to the bayonet structure shown in Fig. 8 in combination with the main body and bezel, the reference discloses in Fig. 4 a wrist watch with main body 1, bezel 4, bayonet structure having projected portion 9 fitted into recessed portion 15 of main body 1, projected portion being integral with member 8. With respect to claim 1, the shape of projected part 9 would be an obvious variable for one of ordinary skill in the art, the only constraint being that it engage in recess 15. Thus, a semispherical shape for the projection and recess would be one of several suitable designs which would provide the function specified in the reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

4. With regard to claim 2, member 8 containing integral projection 9 is referred to as being made of plastic (col. 2, line 19). It would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to design these elements such that a degree of elasticity will be provided, either inherently associated with plastic materials, or to facilitate insertion thereof into recess 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vermot. The reference discloses a wristwatch including inner case body 1 including watch function part 3, outer case body 2 containing an inner portion as shown in Fig. 3 for accommodating inner case 1, bezel 5 for sandwiching the inner case to the outer case.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 703-308-3096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

VM June 30, 2003

Vit Miska Primary Examiner